PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220							
P105168.WO02	ACTION as w	ell as, where applicable, item 5 below.					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)					
PCT/GB2008/051042	07/11/2008	09/11/2007					
Applicant							
PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC							
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	prepared by this International Searching Autansmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant					
This international search report consists of	of a total of sheets.						
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.							
Basis of the report Nith second to the lenguage the							
	international search was carried out on the tapplication in the language in which it was file						
a translation of th	application in the language in which it was file the international application into trnished for the purposes of international sea	, which is the language					
b. This international search	report has been established taking into acco	unt the rectification of an obvious mistake					
	to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6 <i>bis</i> otide and/or amino acid sequence disclose	ed in the international application, see Box No. I.					
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)						
3. Unity of invention is lac	kina (see Box No III)						
4. With regard to the title ,							
The text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant						
''	shed by this Authority to read as follows:						
	mod by and radiotity to road do follows:						
5. With regard to the abstract,							
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant						
the text has been establismay, within one month fro	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorn the date of mailing of this international se	ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority					
6. With regard to the drawings,							
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	oublished with the abstract is Figure No. <u>5</u>						
X as suggested by	the applicant	,					
as selected by thi	s Authority, because the applicant failed to s	uggest a figure					
as selected by thi	s Authority, because this figure better charac	cterizes the invention					
b. none of the figures is to be	e published with the abstract						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/GB2008/051042

PCT/GB2008/051042 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. A61L2/22 B05B7/06 B05B1/06 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61L B05B Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. WO 2005/082546 A (PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC 1 - 36[GB]; FENTON MARCUS BRIAN MAYHALL [GB]; HEATHCOTE) 9 September 2005 (2005-09-09) figures 16-19,21 WO 94/08724 A (CASEY ALAN PATRICK [AU]) 1 - 3628 April 1994 (1994-04-28) abstract; figures page 12, lines 14-23 page 15, lines 13-21 WO 01/76764 A (CHROBAK JULIUS [SK]) 1 - 3618 October 2001 (2001-10-18) cited in the application page 1 - page 2; claims; figure 2 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the considered to be of particular relevance invention *E* earlier document but published on or after the international *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such docuother means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 05/03/2009 20 February 2009 Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk

2

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Nissen, Vagn

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/GB2008/051042

	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 03/072952 A (PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC [GB]; TODMAN MICHAEL TORR [GB]; FENTON MARCUS BRI) 4 September 2003 (2003-09-04) the whole document	1-36
4	US 6 405 944 B1 (BENALIKHOUDJA KARIM [FR]) 18 June 2002 (2002-06-18) the whole document	1-36

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/GB2008/051042

	itent document I in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO	2005082546	A	09-09-2005	AU CA EP US	2005216699 2556673 1718413 2007210186	A1 A1	09-09-2005 09-09-2005 08-11-2006 13-09-2007
WO	9408724	A	28-04-1994	AT BR CZ DE DE FIU JP NO NZ PU US	190866 99557 9307239 2147008 9500966 69328185 69328185 0664734 2143512 951721 72516 3264930 8502203 951425 256646 308351 2128087 5735468	T A A A A A A A A A A A A A A C I	15-04-2000 29-03-1996 25-05-1999 28-04-1994 13-03-1996 27-04-2000 02-08-1995 16-05-2000 01-06-1995 28-05-1996 11-03-2002 12-03-1996 11-04-1995 25-06-1996 24-07-1995 27-03-1999 07-04-1998
WO	0176764	Α	18-10-2001	CZ CZ SK	20023443 14882 5272000	U1	18-06-2003 12-01-2005 03-12-2001
WO	03072952	Α	04-09-2003	AU CA JP	2003207347 2477568 2006504019	A1	09-09-2003 04-09-2003 02-02-2006
US	6405944	B1	18-06-2002	AU CA DE DE EP ES FR WO PT	760330 9078998 2301744 69819017 69819017 1007220 2212831 2767492 9910104 1007220	A A1 D1 T2 A1 T3 A1	15-05-2003 16-03-1999 04-03-1999 20-11-2003 05-08-2004 14-06-2000 01-08-2004 26-02-1999 04-03-1999 31-03-2004

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2008/051042 07.11.2008 09.11.2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A61L2/22 B05B7/06 B05B1/06 **Applicant** PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. Ⅰ Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

whichever expires later.

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Date of completion of this opinion

see form PCT/ISA/210 **Authorized Officer**

Nissen, Vagn

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8619



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2008/051042

	Box	c No	o. I	Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	\boxtimes	the	inte	rnational application in the language in which it was filed		
		a tı pui	ransl rpose	ation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the es of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.		Thi by	is op or no	inion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized otified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))		
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
			a se	quence listing		
			table	e(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:					
			on p	aper		
			in el	ectronic form		
	c. ti	me (of fili	ng/furnishing:		
	E		cont	ained in the international application as filed.		
			filed	together with the international application in electronic form.		
			furni	shed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
4.		cop	s bee pies i	on, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretoen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as iate, were furnished.		
5.	Add	ition	nal co	omments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1, 15, 28, 29, 34-36</u>

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1-36</u>

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 2005/082546 A (PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC [GB]; FENTON MARCUS BRIAN MAYHALL [GB]; HEATHCOTE) 9 September 2005 (2005-09-09)
- D2: WO 94/08724 A (CASEY ALAN PATRICK [AU]) 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28)
- D3: WO 01/76764 A (CHROBAK JULIUS [SK]) 18 October 2001 (2001-10-18) cited in the application
- D4: WO 03/072952 A (PURSUIT DYNAMICS PLC [GB]; TODMAN MICHAEL TORR [GB]; FENTON MARCUS BRI) 4 September 2003 (2003-09-04)
- D5: US-B1-6 405 944 (BENALIKHOUDJA KARIM [FR]) 18 June 2002 (2002-06-18)
- 1. Coaxial nozzles for providing a mist of a liquid by entraining the liquid from one channel into a gas moving at high velocity in another channel is well-known [vide D1-D5].
- 1.1 D1 discloses several designs for such nozzles, some of which comprising a throat portion in the center fluid channel which has a smaller cross sectional area than both the inlet and outlet of said channel. The area ratio between the throat portion and the outlet is not explicitly mentioned, but could be assumed to be within the range of 2:3 to 1:4. The inclination between the center channel and the surrounding channel(s) appears to be in the range of 15-25 degrees [figures 16-19, 21]. In any event it is evident to the skilled person that various characteristics of the droplet formation may be obtained by varying the angle [See corresponding text to the figures on pages 42-45]. The device according to D1 can be used to generate a mist if a disinfectant [page 63, 1st §]. It is also foreseen that the outer channel may have a throat portion [see the figures].
- 1.2 Accordingly, at least the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 15, 28, 29 and 34-36 lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 2. A similar disclosure is found in D2 [page 12, lines 14-23; page 15 lines 13-21 and figures] (Art. 33(2) PCT). Admittedly, D2 does not explicitly mention the use of the

PCT/GB2008/051042

device for sterilising purposes but rather for use in a combustion engine. Nevertheless, the device can be considered suitable for such purpose and alternative uses in general have been implied [page 1, lines 8-10].

- 3. Dependent claims 2-14, 16-27 and 30-33 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.
- In said claims only slight constructional change in the subject matter of the independent claims are defined and which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of said dependent claims at least lacks an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

Possible steps after receipt of the international search report (ISR) and written opinion of the International Searching Authority (WO-ISA)

General information

For all international applications filed on or after 01/01/2004 the competent ISA will establish an ISR. It is accompanied by the WO-ISA. Unlike the former written opinion of the IPEA (Rule 66.2 PCT), the WO-ISA is not meant to be responded to, but to be taken into consideration for further procedural steps. This document explains about the possibilities.

under Art. 19 PCT

Amending claims Within 2 months after the date of mailing of the ISR and the WO-ISA the applicant may file amended claims under Art. 19 PCT directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. The PCT reform of 2004 did not change this procedure. For further information please see Rule 46 PCT as well as form PCT/ISA/220 and the corresponding Notes to form PCT/ISA/220.

Filing a demand for international preliminary examination

In principle, the WO-ISA will be considered as the written opinion of the IPEA. This should, in many cases, make it unnecessary to file a demand for international preliminary examination. If the applicant nevertheless wishes to file a demand this must be done before expiry of 3 months after the date of mailing of the ISR/WO-ISA or 22 months after priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 54bis PCT). Amendments under Art. 34 PCT can be filed with the IPEA as before, normally at the same time as filing the demand (Rule 66.1 (b) PCT).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is filed and no comments/amendments have been received the WO-ISA will be transformed by the IPEA into an IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) which would merely reflect the content of the WO-ISA. The demand can still be withdrawn (Art. 37 PCT).

Filing informal comments

After receipt of the ISR/WO-ISA the applicant may file informal comments on the WO-ISA directly with the International Bureau of WIPO. These will be communicated to the designated Offices together with the IPRP (International Preliminary Report on Patentability) at 30 months from the priority date. Please also refer to the next box.

End of the international phase

At the end of the international phase the International Bureau of WIPO will transform the WO-ISA or, if a demand was filed, the written opinion of the IPEA into the IPRP, which will then be transmitted together with possible informal comments to the designated Offices. The IPRP replaces the former IPER (international preliminary examination report).

Relevant PCT Rules and more information

Rule 43 PCT, Rule 43bis PCT, Rule 44 PCT, Rule 44bis PCT, PCT Newsletter 12/2003, OJ 11/2003, OJ 12/2003